

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FI                   | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/377,827                              | 7 08/20/1999         |            | AKIRA SUGIYAMA       | 1453.1001/JD            | 1453.1001/JD 8358       |  |
| 21171                                   | 7590                 | 07/29/2003 |                      |                         |                         |  |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP                      |                      |            |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
| SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. |                      |            |                      | FLETCHER,               | FLETCHER, MARLON T      |  |
| WASHINGT                                | WASHINGTON, DC 20005 |            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|   |                      |            |                      | 2837                    | 2837                    |  |
|   |                      |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003 | DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.                       | Amultagrafia)                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.                       | Applicant(s)                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Symmony   | 09/377,827                            | SUGIYAMA, AKIRA                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                              | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication com  | Marlon T Fletcher                     | 2837   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 h</u>  | <u>May 2003</u> .                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi   | is action is non-final.               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  | r election requirement.               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | •                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept   |                                       | miner  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>  | s have been received.                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>  | s have been received in Application   | on No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal F               | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/377,827

Art Unit: 2837

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoh et al. (5,038,659) in view of Tanimoto (4,450,743).

As recited in claims 1 and 6, Satoh et al. disclose a musical score apparatus including a data processor (3) using a computer and a staff notation comprising: a keyboard (2) for inputting character or symbol data into the data processor (3) as discussed in column 3, lines 51-61; tables corresponding with data input into the data processor with character and scales of music staff notation as discussed in column 5, line 67 through column 6, line 2, and column 9, lines 8-25, and as can be seen in figures 17-19; a note decoder for decoding the input data to correspond to scales or notes of a scale as discussed in column 3, lines 46-61; column 6, lines 7-9 and lines 20-23; and column 8, lines 52-57; a note code storage device (7) memorizing an output from the note decoder as discussed in column 5, lines 4-7; and an output means (4) for column 5, lines 8-14. Further, with respect to claim 6, Satoh et al., also disclose that the note code tables or data correspond with non-music staff character or symbol data as discussed in column 3, lines 51-61 and column 9, lines 8-25. Inherently, Satoh et al. provide note code tables as can be understood from column 9, lines 8-25.

Satoh et al. do not disclose table providing a listing of note codes that correspond to the input data.

However, as recited in claims 1 and 6, Tanimoto discloses note code tables that correspond to the input data as seen in and discussed in columns 5 and 6, which correspond to figures 1-4, wherein input data and note codes also correspond to musical staff notation.

As recited in claims 2-5 and 7, Tanimoto discloses a data processor, wherein the note code table is a list corresponding data input to musical scales or phrases of music staff notation as seen in figure 5, and discussed in column 3, lines 53-66, and as further seen in figure 5 and discussed in column 3, lines 53-66 and as further seen in tables in columns 5 and 6, wherein plural tables are included.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Tanimoto with the apparatus of Satoh et al., because Tanimoto provides a clear correlation of input data with stored table data including note codes to produce output data, wherein Satoh et al. provide correlation of input data with output data, only failing to show a clear correlation with note codes.

Satoh et al. clearly shows the correspondence of notes and input characters as seen in figures 18 and 19. It is obvious that the input data is corresponding with the notes.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 05/08/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2837

The applicant argues that the references do not suggest any reason or motivation to be combined. The applicant argues that Satoh et al. do not provide note conversion. However, Satoh et al. do provide input conversion and musical score display. Tanimoto provides note conversion and is relied upon for that reason. In combination, the references provide the teachings as claimed by the applicant. While Satoh et al. shows the correspondence or result of the input data in relation to the notes, it is obvious that the same could be shown in a table to show the same relationship. Tanimoto provides that teaching which can be inherently seen in Satoh et al. Applicant argues that the notes seen in the figures relate to the musical keyboard. However, Satoh et al. provide the correlation of the input, whether matched or converted, providing the teaching of corresponding the input to the note data. In combination, the references clearly provide the teachings of the claimed limitations.

After careful review, the examiner still holds the position that the prior art cited above, reads on the claimed limitations.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2837

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T Fletcher whose telephone number is 703-308-0848. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Art Unit 2837

MTF

November 2, 2002